

NERS MEPA ENF Hybrid Meeting

Wednesday, May 6, 2026 • 6:00PM • 1h 24m

SUMMARY KEYWORDS

NEPA review, MEPA process, environmental impact, public comment, community engagement, stadium construction, traffic impacts, waterfront park, community benefits, job creation, mitigation measures, project timeline, public meetings, stakeholder feedback, project design., NEPA review, written comments, public record, certificate issuance, draft environmental impact report, technical studies, public document, email submission, PDF submission, meeting recording, project website, translated versions, meeting conclusion, participant appreciation.

Speaker 2 00:01

Welcome. Thank you for joining us for The New England Revolution, stadium, neba site consultation, meeting, just a few we actually went through some logistics with the interpretation already, so I'm going to skip that one, but just in general, general for meeting logistics. Sorry, I'll get closer. The first part, we're going to do a presentation. We're going to present the project, talk to you about the MEPA process and what we're doing, and then we're going to have questions and discussion after which will be part two, just so everybody's aware, the meeting is being recorded, and we will make the recording available for folks on the project website, which is linked here. When we get to the discussion portion, I'll have another slide, and we'll talk about how we'll take questions from both people online and in person, and I'm going to turn it over to

Speaker 3 00:53

Eva.

Speaker 4 01:00

Great.

Speaker 5 01:00

Hi everybody. My name is Eva bond. I'm a NEPA analyst at the Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs. We're here today because this project requires NEPA review, which you may be unfamiliar with, because it certainly is not required for every project going through the Commonwealth. Meeper stands for the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act. The intent of NEPA is to provide meaningful opportunities for public review of environmental impacts of certain projects. The public meeting we are here for tonight is the as part of the initial stage of me review for this project. MEPA review is required when projects exceed NEPA review thresholds which are tied to environmental impacts. I will say that environmental impacts is a pretty broad when it comes to NEPA, we look certainly at things like alteration of wetlands and rare species habitat and things like that. But we also look at traffic impacts, air quality, greenhouse gas emissions. So it's a pretty, pretty broad scope. NEPA itself is not a permitting process. We don't approve or deny projects. It's really a mechanism to evaluate the existing conditions on the site. What exactly is being proposed by a project, the environmental impacts associated with that project and measures that are being taken to avoid, minimize and mitigate those environmental impacts is required to be completed prior to any state agency action being taken. An agency action, in terms of maker review, involves either funding from a state agency, an agency itself proposing a project, or, most notably, and certainly in the case of this project, permits that are being issued by a state agency. And later on in this presentation, we'll go through the permits that are anticipated to be required for this project. Like I said, this is the initial stage of MEAP review. We're here today, today because the proponent has filed an environmental notification form also called an ENF, there will be additional filings required for this project, which are both a draft environmental impact report, also called an EIR, and then a final EIR. So this is really just the initial stage of this project. The ENF provides really a kind of overview of the project and an introduction exactly what is being proposed, but it is certainly not the last filing that we will review, and it is anticipated that substantially more information will be disclosed in the future in these additional filings, this is really just the first step. In addition to today's meeting, there's also a opportunity for public comments on this project, and those comments can be emailed to me. My email is on the screen. I have it on a piece of paper with me. If you want to take a picture. We also have an online public comment

portal. I am the one reviewing comments no matter what, so it's really whatever you're most comfortable with. The comment period was extended this week by 30 days. So I think it is June 11, yes. So, Oh, perfect. Yeah, thank you. Sorry. So comments will be accepted on or before June 11, and then following that, I think on June 22 a certificate will be issued by our office, and that will provide an overview of the project. But more importantly, it will include a scope that identifies the information that should be provided in the next filing that draft dir it is pretty complicated process, and certainly at the end of this presentation, there'll be an opportunity to ask questions about the project, but also the meba process that applies to it. So in the interest of everybody's time, I'm going to go ahead and let us go over the project at this point, but if you have any questions, there'll be an opportunity to ask them at the end, and I'm certainly happy to say after desk to answer any questions you might have. Thank you.

Speaker 1 05:16

Sort of the like, there's a slideshow just trying to find, I Okay,

Speaker 6 05:31

all right, well, let's skip this, because I'm not really presenting this. I just want to say, welcome everyone. My name is Brian, oops. My name is Brian. Below. I'm the president in the revolution. I do see some familiar faces, spoken with some of you before different community meetings when we were working on the community impact agreement with the city of Everett. We are now in the official meeba process. So we're looking forward to this. We think it's going to take, you, know, well, over a year to complete all this process with with with the state, and so we're looking forward to, again, hearing your comments, working through those things. As you can see, there's, there's a lot of work still to do here, but this is really just the start of that process with Mika. And so our group from PHP and Matt from our internal construction team, they'll be presenting to you tonight to show you sort of what we're where we're at with the project. Like I said, we have a lot of work to still to still do on the project, but we think we have some some good information to share now and as we go through this process over the next year, plus, we look forward to getting your comments, integrating those into the project plan. But we're really excited to be here. We're really excited about the prospect of getting rid of the power plant, opening up the park and building the stadium. So thank you for being here and thank you for for your support throughout this process.

Speaker 7 06:56

Thank you, Brian. My name is Matthew pikarski, Donaldson,

Speaker 1 07:02

Alexander, Oh, good.

Speaker 5 07:03

You all

Speaker 3 07:04

right, sir.

Speaker 7 07:08

Good evening. My name is Matthew pikarski, Director of construction development with the craft group, excited to be here tonight and getting to know I'm sure many of you over the next year plus, as we continue to have these meetings and engage with the public throughout the permitting process, just by way of introduction, there's a couple of folks that are with us tonight. They're not necessarily speaking, but we just wanted to make the you aware of it. So from the New England Revolution, obviously Brian is here and just spoke from my side. And what the craft group Ted fire, our Vice President construction development is here. We have our legal counsel here, from Nutter, David liber Doney, relative to this. And then obviously VHB, which has been the handful of people have been up here, speaking of which, Holly will be the kind of the MC, if you will, particularly at the end as we start fielding questions and such. Just if, as for those that may or may not understand the process, this has been about two years in the making in terms of getting us to this point. Overall, there was the community impact agreement process that occurred in the CIA, as we refer to it. It was actually a byproduct of legislation that was passed. And the legislation was that was passed was allowing the stadium to come out of

the DPA, the designated port area, and from a zoning perspective, to allow something like a stadium to be constructed there. That legislation required that we enter into a community impact agreements with both the city of Boston and the city of Everett. And so the legislation was passed in 24 the balance of 2025 was really an effort to work through that process with both cities to get that agreement in place. It was a little bit of an unusual process in terms of from a development standpoint, because it's sort of putting the cart before the horse, because we would be asked to agree to mitigation on things that we haven't fully analyzed yet. So we think about all the potential impacts of a development like this to understand what it is and the normal course of things you course of things, you would analyze it and then commit to certain mitigation measures. We were kind of doing the reverse. So we went through that process and we looked at, we did do a complete analysis, obviously, given where we were in the overall process, but we did enough to get both the city of Everett and Boston comfortable with, you know, the the major impacts, if you will, in the what the project would bring, and then obviously some mitigation measures associated with that that we that we committed to. The nice thing about that process and going through that is it actually allowed us to identify a lot of the things up front before we launch into the actual analysis. So that allows us to now be on a much more aggressive schedule in terms of the meet my process. This is a large and complex project. Obviously, there's a lot of other projects going on in the area, and it would be okay. It would be we have to incorporate all of that into our. Our into our filings, into the analysis that we're doing. So with that said, you know again, that that impact process allowed us to come back and understand what the those potential impacts are going to be. Use that now to launch this effort and try to get us to a point where we can make really informed decisions, and have continued to have outreach that informs the permitting process. In terms of the commitments themselves, this slide here is a summary of the overall commitments between both Everett and in the city of Boston, and we've kind of broken them into kind of three, three buckets, if you will, from a transit perspective, you know, in total, it's roughly \$138 million in value, looking out over roughly a 15 year horizon. Obviously, these agreements extend beyond that, so the true value is actually much higher than that over time, but for the purposes of putting a reasonable time frame, and we use this 15 year horizon, and looking at the commitments that we're making through the community impact agreement process, from a regional transit and kind of access standpoint, the traditional transportation elements that you would expect, there was a commitment towards the assembly row head house project. The construction of that project in the order of 17 and a half million dollars. There's a handful of alcohol pedestrian and bicycle improvements, both within the city of Boston and in the city of Everett, things that you would expect, you know, sidewalk widenings completion or connections of disconnected pieces of bike pathways and things of that nature, roughly in the neighborhood of about \$5 million for the Sullivan square infrastructure project. That's roughly about \$5 million worth of physical improvements that we made towards that project. And then there's the outfit street Harbor Walk project, which I'm sure many of you are aware of. It's basically the extension of the Harbor Walk from the Encore wrapping around two different phases of that coming around the Boston water and sewer building and the MWA parcel, where the where the wind turbine is phase one and phase two. So there's a there's a financial commitment towards helping them bring that project to completion as well. Excuse me, in addition, there's the broader kind of, I'll call it more site specific improvements, when the biggest one being is the community park. You know, where our preliminary estimates have, we've established that at roughly a \$20 million investment to actually, you know, shore up this, the existing shoreline, the bulkhead that's along there, make the site resilient, all the requirements that would be be expected for a project of this nature, and then programming and activating the park, and then maintaining that park and in perpetuity as part of this project, with it, with That associated value in there about several million dollars on the municipal side, the revenues, there's the lion's share of the money. It's actually tied to ticket taxes and things of that nature. So whether it's a sporting event or if it's concert, each agreement's a little bit different in terms of how that value is calculated. But at the end of the day, it's basically set up as a on a per ticket sold basis, a revenue share type scenario, and that's an annual contribution for each for each of those, each of those agreements. In addition, there's some upfront, direct payments to it. Specifically, you know, there's \$3 million value associated with the municipal payment, and then a handful of other community benefits that, again, they're spelled out in the agreements, but things like helping supporting fundraising for the Everett school system, and, you know, all the kind of community based programs and activations that will be supported with that are part of the agreement as well, part of this process, and again, going through the community impact agreement process was, again, a little bit of a unique process, because it was a good portion of it, one half of it was actually done through mediation, which introduced its own challenges, from our perspective and our without getting out the details. But there were periods of time where we're basically, we're beholden to the mediator, and we weren't allowed to go out and speak to people about the what was being discussed. And that's just the way the

mediation process works. But having worked in that, we once we were able to actually get the agreements in place, we continued what we had started prior to that, which was also this, you know, community outreach and at the both at the community level and at the state level, there's been a handful of meetings that have happened at the state agency level and the local level since we really engaged in this permitting effort, really kind of at the start of this year. This is just a sampling of basically from March up until now, to give a. A an overview of the level of effort that we've been undertaking and coordinating. The whole premise of our approach on this project is to be as open and upfront as possible. On the at the front end of this we want to solicit all the comments and and feedback and try to incorporate all that as much as possible into the overall process, just in an effort towards making this one an efficient and process to go through, but really, ultimately get something that works for everybody. We're looking to be, obviously, good partners, good neighbors. One thing that we're here to stay, you know, and if our fans don't have a good experience getting here, we're just as concerned of, you know, traffic and impacts and things of that nature as anybody else who may or may not be supportive of the project, the reality is, our fans need to have a good experience, so they're not going to come back. So we're very mindful that in these coordination meetings and efforts with the different agencies, that's the tone and tenor of how we're having these conversations and dealing with with them to solicit their honest feedback, understand all the other projects that are going on throughout that process, these comments, again at the state level, there's, you know, tremendous amount of meetings happening, dozens so far. We have a standing weekly call with the city of Everett going through these, these same types of conversations and soliciting their feedback on the project as well. And

Speaker 1 16:18

that

Speaker 7 16:21

is expected to continue in earnest through all phases of this, whether it's the VIPA process itself and then ultimately the chapter 91 process that we need to go through over the next you know, 1212, to 1418, months, in terms of the public outreach. Again, here's just a sampling of probably 17 or so different meetings that have occurred. This is dating back through 2020, back through 2025, up until the most recently. And there's a handful of them in here. I'm not going to go through every single list that's on here, but you can obviously see there's a handful of groups that are in here, community based groups that we've been meeting with. Everybody's jazzed up and excited about the park, so there's a lot of interest around that, whether it's Mystic River Watershed Association, green roots, you know, you pick the group. There's everyone's is excited to be part of that process. Through our agreement with the city of Everett, we have commitments to work with the city of Everett to really solicit as much input as we can on the park. Some of our colleagues are often saying in these meetings that, you know, we're good at building stadiums. We're running stadiums. We're not necessarily the experts on building parks, so that's where we're looking. To get as much input as we can from from the community. There are certain things that you know will have to be constrained by, like the shoreline and what a stadium requires and making sure we can move people in and out, but soliciting that input from the public is is going to be really important, and these initial conversations and bringing everybody up to speed and educating them on the project, and is, is we view that as invaluable to the process and making sure that we can have these informed conversations, and again, try to incorporate everybody's information into the overall design and permitting process. And the only other thing I'll say on the from the park design side of things is we're actively working with the city. We have a couple of meetings already their city, again, in the community impact agreement has this concept of an independent advisory group, which is going to be led by the city. We're working with them now. They're identifying the groups that are going to participate in that process. So we're excited to get that going as well. And there'll be, I'm sure it'll be hosted here. There'll be, you know, more community meetings to solicit just broad community input on things like Park design, so we can explain that and present the opportunity to everybody with

Speaker 1 18:35

that.

Speaker 7 18:36

I'm going to turn it over to Holly, who's going to start going through some more of the technical slides here. Thank you.

Speaker 2 18:49

Thanks, Matt. Again. I'm Holly pondering from DHB. I'm going to start just sort of familiar. I know you're all here in Everett, so you know where we are, but familiarizing with the site where this location is, on the Mystic River, and all of the various things that are around it. There's a big transportation network, there's a ferry system envisioned, there's roadway networks, and right along the waterfront, which is why it's so exciting for everybody for the Waterfront Park location, if we want to zoom in on the site itself. This is the outline of the actual project site. You can see the actual site that we're calling the project site is just under 20 acres. It's 19 point acre site, but there are other properties and around us it shows the there's two ever source easements that are in yellow there, and also some land that extends into the waterway itself, in addition to the project site. And also it the small parcel along Alfred Street, which is actually in Boston, as well as. That being in Everett, the site is in both Everett and and Boston next. So I wanted to just show some existing conditions. It's probably not a location that a lot of people can get out to and see. So we took some photos out there for what you can see, it's a former power plant site that has been decommissioned, so all of the old infrastructure is still out there. It's basically abandoned. It's not currently being used or active. I have a second slide to also show some close ups of what the site looks like now. And this is conceptual. It's illustrative. This is not the actual design. It will be advanced as the project goes through, but this is sort of the overall concept of what the project will be. So it's intended to be a approximately 25,000 seat MLS soccer stadium. It also can have up to 35,000 seats for other larger events, like concerts and other community events that can happen at the site. There is also in the front of the site, the four acre envisioned waterfront park. And again, there's not that this isn't the design of the park. This is just conceptually to show that it can fit on the site. And that's approximately where the park will go, and it will be about four acres of the site right up against the water, providing access in a location that you actually don't have access to the mystic at the moment. And again, sort of just a vision of what that waterfront park can be. It's currently inaccessible to the public. It will be open space. It will be open to the public when it becomes a park, and it's intended to be designed to be resilient. It will be about four acres, and we'll have daily access. So resiliency, which is an important aspect of our project overall. So just some of the just we go, some of the project benefits is improving the existing conditions that are out there. As you could see from the existing conditions, it is an abandoned power plant, and the intent is to remediate the site, but also provide that public access. Do resiliency overall, in the site, in the site design, protect the natural resources that are out there and provide people access to them, access to that waterfront, reducing heat island with the resiliency planning, improving stormwater management. Also is bringing economic development and community engagement to the space and is consistent with regional planning for this area. And just to go over quickly, as Ava had mentioned earlier, why are we here? This is for MEPA, we have some triggers under MEPA that require review, and so we do need a mass chapter 91 license. We also have some other potential state agency actions that are listed here that also could require a state or local permit. And then there are also some other mandatory EIR thresholds. We have one or more acres of non water dependent use and 3000 or more new vehicle vehicle trips on event days. And the protocols that this project is subject to include the environmental justice protocol, greenhouse gas emissions and climate resiliency. So those are all things that as the design and the project advances, we'll be taking into consideration as this project moves on to the Deir. So just a quick overview of what the ENF is. It is out. It's available. It's available on meepus website. It's also available on the project website. There is the ENF form. It contains a brief project description, which, again, doesn't have a lot of details on the design, because we're not advanced in the design yet. This is sort of early stages looking at what we can do out there. It contains a discussion of the community benefits and public engagement. A lot of what Matt had talked about earlier, all of the things that have already happened, as well as all of the things that the project is planning to do going forward. There is an Alternatives Analysis. We talk about environmental justice and public health, and I'll get into some more details with some future slides on those. And then there's also some supporting documentation attached about the site remediation and climate change resilience of the project. So in general, identified in the ENF, we have to identify potential environmental impacts and also identify some potential mitigation. So some potential impacts, as I mentioned. Four increase in daily vehicle trips on event days, an increase in water use, because right now, it's not a currently used site, but some of that mitigation is improving the open space and access, improving the quality of the stormwater runoff from the site. The plan is to limit on site parking and encourage multimodal transportation, and to also develop a very dynamic and event transportation, parking and management plan, which is a requirement of the project, and we're looking at lots of resilience and climate change adaptation measures as well as energy conservation and water conservation as the project moves forward. For the Alternatives Analysis, we these are the alternatives

that were looked at for the project. I just want to highlight that because this project was taken out of the DPA, the legislation specifically allows it to be a stadium. If the stadium doesn't get built, it reverts back to being in the DPA. So it does limit what alternative can be built on the site. So the alternatives that were looked at in the analysis are the no build alternative, the build alternative, which is defined by, what if it's not a stadium, so that would be a water dependent it would have to be a water dependent use in the GPA. And then our proposed preferred alternative, which is making it a world class MLS stadium that also provides a beautiful, publicly accessible waterfront park. So just wanted to touch on environmental justice for the project. The site itself is actually not located within an AJ community, but it is within one mile of DGA. And so this is mapping required as part of me, but to look at, we do have a public engagement plan. We did do advanced notification of the ENF to the EJ reference list on February 26 prior to the filing of the ENF, which was on April 14, we translated that into six different languages. We also expanded the EJ reference list based on all the community outreach that the project has already done. We added some additional EJ notifications to the process based on some of the input that we've already received on the project. There have been early outreach meetings. Matt had gone through that whole side of all of the community outreach meetings that have already happened, and we'll be continuing with some of the community groups. We have a project website. All of the information about the project is located there. You can get the ENF though this presentation will also be posted there, and any other important dates and notifications for the project. There are electronic copies available, as I said, on the website, but also on MEPA, and there's you can request hard copies if needed. We published the public notice for the project in the six languages as well, both in English and non English newspapers, as well as distributing the site consultation notice. And we do have a public engagement plan, which is intended to provide public access to anybody who wants it, to be able to review the project and learn about it and provide meaningful interaction with the team, and also maintaining engagement with the local groups, which they're doing, as Matt said, with all those ongoing meetings with the community groups as well as the communities themselves. So the next steps, this is the site consultation meeting the ENF comments, as Ava had mentioned, we did extend the comment period, so the comments are now due on June 11, with the certificate with scoping for the de ir, expected for June 22 and then there will be subsequent Deir, R and F, EIR filings. Again, this project is going through a typical three step NEPA process, where we file the ENF, we get the certificate, which scopes the Deir, we would file a Deir, and then a final environmental impact report. And then did you want I'm going to turn it over to Ava to just remind everybody how you can comment, thank you. Great.

Speaker 5 29:12

Okay, so just a few again. Key points I want to reiterate is that this is just the start of the NEPA review process. Every single filing that we receive through the NEPA review process is a public filing, and you will be able to review in real time while our office is reviewing it, and every single filing, we will also be accepting public comments on NEPA is really an iterative process, so this ENF is really just an introduction to the project, and because I get a lot of questions on this, I do want to note that there isn't required timelines for filing the subsequent documents. So the best way to be to keep updated on the project as it progresses through NEPA is to submit comments on it, because if you submit comments on it, you will be notified when the next filing is submitted to our office. Further. Well, I'm happy to hear all comments tonight from anybody online or in the room. I can really reflect those in the certificate in our review if you submit them in writing, further, if you submit them in writing, and to the extent that they're within Neva jurisdiction, the proponent will be required to respond to those comments in the next filing. So written comments really are your best option for getting your concerns addressed through this process. So at this point, we'll open it up for questions, either about the project or the NEPA process as it applies to it. And I'll reiterate one more time that the commentary deadline for this filing the ENF closes on June 11, and again, those comments can be emailed directly to me. If you haven't received the en app yet and would like it, you can also reach out to me through email, and I'm happy to send you a link to download that directly. Thank you. Thanks,

Speaker 1 30:54

Alan.

Speaker 2 30:54

I'm just going to go through just some quick logistics on the discussion, because we do have people in person online, so we want to have everybody available to make comments. So if you are in person and you would like to make a comment, we have a microphone right in the center, so you can certainly line up behind that, and

we'll take you in order. If you're online, you can use your raise your hand feature, and we can unmute you to do your comments. And we do have an online moderator that I'm communicating with, so he and I will take turns letting people in the room and online make comments, just noting for for timing. If people can, please keep your questions brief, about two minute limit, and please be civil and relevant to the project, please state your name before sharing your comment and mentioning if you're speaking on behalf of yourself or a particular organization, so we can note that. And again, we have this room until about eight o'clock, so we will plan on taking the last comments a few minutes before then, so that we can be respectful about finishing up in time. So if anybody would like to start lining up,

Alan Belniak 32:07

Holly, thank you for that. Just as folks decide in the room to line up, I can't see the room, just as folks in the room can't see folks online. So just to let everyone know, we have 17 attendees online at the moment. At the moment, also no one's hands are raised. And then, just to reiterate, it's the raise hand function in zoom. You might not see it down below, but you might see a React button. It looks like a little heart. If you click that, the raised hand button should be under there. And like Holly said, we'll just communicate back and forth. So you folks online know how many folks are in the room and vice versa.

Speaker 2 32:42

Thank you. So if you didn't hear that, he said there are about 17 people online. No one has yet raised their hand, but he'll let me know when they do. So we'll alternate. So go ahead,

Speaker 8 32:51

I'll read young Reverend asked, there's a lot of concern about consumption and water requirements for data centers. And like to know the wine is going to be the same for the stadium. Peter Powell will be off the bridge, and then they'll be able they'll have to guarantee not impacting the water solution effort, and that if there's going to be a backup,

Speaker 9 33:27

yeah, we're just

Speaker 8 33:30

taking a requirement for a backup power will be renewable energy on the fossil fuel and how are you measuring the sound and light and pollution brought up and during the MBTA presentation on lower Broadway with the extension of the solar line, They commented that it will add congestion to lower Broadway and there's pollution. Was that people would find an alternate way to get down to lower Broadway, to either take route one the bridge, or to take route 28 so when someone mentioned that the design for lower Broadway, the Silver Line wouldn't fit over the existing bridge unless the widened wood bridge. He challenged the speaker, and he said, based on the dimensions of what's being proposed with the soap dedicated Silver Line, dedicated bus planes and driving planes, it would not fit over to the existing bridge in its physical structure. My question is, do you really think that public transportation can accommodate granting 28 25,000 pages in. The state, that would mean over 500 busses, give or take, either coming from Southern station or from Chelsea. And what about any Alan hockey? There's 150 parking spaces. I think the state requires tenants. Said Seattle paid a a percentage of maybe 200 be allocated for a state for a stadium or a venue facade. And I have to say, I'm a little I'm disappointed that crowd continues to talk about, what a great deal ever to get. Everett got screwed in the scale \$138 million over 15 years. Think about that waterfront property. Currently, the power plant is paying us \$15 million a year. So right off the get go, we're losing money. So how does that happen ever? Because with the environmental justice if you don't pay, we pay, and this looks like we're paying for you to have a nice venue on the waterfront, and if it costs you \$20 million to build a four acre park, it's not the park that's \$20 million it's to build up the resilience. And you should be straightened up front saying it's not park. It's building up the resilient, resilience on the waterfront for the hunter, air frying.

Alan Belniak 36:36

Holly, just a quick note, the audio in the room is is not coming through clearly. If there's a microphone, it's not turned on so before, or if the team responds, or whatnot. If you could just the comments were nice, but if you could just recap the question, if you choose to respond, for our folks online,

Speaker 2 36:55

it was just comments weren't not response live. But I'm trying to think of think of how to because it's through the microphone. Is through the room.

Alan Belniak 37:05

Okay.

Speaker 2 37:10

Do you have anybody online? Alan,

Alan Belniak 37:12

yep, I was just going to let you know we have a speaker with their hand raised. JP, bekta, and JP, give me one moment. You should now be able to unmute yourself and share your comments with the room. You go. You are unmuted. As far as I can see on my side.

Speaker 10 37:37

Are you going to answer other questions?

Alan Belniak 37:39

JP, if you have, if you're speaking from a computer, you might have a hard line

Speaker 3 37:44

as many comments as possible. We would like to provide you guys the opportunity to speak, rather than respond to each question one by one. We are collecting all of these comments, and there will be another document that we submit, and we will be addressing those comments as part of the next

Alan Belniak 38:12

document. JP,

Speaker 6 38:14

sorry, guys,

Speaker 11 38:15

I can't,

Alan Belniak 38:17

I can't tell what's going on in the room. I'm sorry. I'm trying to let JP speak. If you want even a pause, just let me know.

Speaker 6 38:24

Just online. Just hold on a second. So the where we are in the process now is like, this is for us to capture these questions, but these answers are not just simple answers. Like this is what these documents are, and we talked about over a year worth of planning still to go. That's why we're asking for these comments, so that we can talk to you about, okay, there's a comment about the Silver Line and getting busses here. There's this comment about accessible parking on the site. We don't not designed the stadium yet. So when we say there's maybe 150 spots, maybe there are, maybe there's 50, maybe there's 20, we don't know yet. So the whole purpose of the meeting is to hear these comments so that we can add them to our planning process and answer them in time. So we will be answering all the questions, but we don't have answers to these questions now, because we are literally the first stage of this planning process. So we appreciate that there's simple questions that we can answer. We'll answer them, but most of these questions are going to be about the planning of the building and how we're designing it and how we're going to make it all come together. So if there's specific things there that that I'm trying to think through, I we're happy to answer them. But the whole

point is to get these concerns so that we can add them into the planning and respond on the next document of what you're what you're asking about. So yeah,

Speaker 3 39:39

so of the comments I did hear, there was a concern about water, there's a concern about energy usage, there's a concern about transportation. And I would say with all of those, there will be detailed technical studies prepared over the next many months, and those will be submitted during the step two of this NEPA process. It's called the draft environmental impact. Act report. So be very detailed technical analyzes that look at each of those items that will be reviewed by the state, and all of that information will be provided to the public for your review and consideration. But at this point, because they are so early on in the process, and we're looking for public input, we want to understand what your concerns are, we have not prepared those studies as part of the ENF. It is not typical process to submit those studies. Right now we want to share this is the idea of a project, and then the state will identify which studies we need to prepare based on, in part by the comments and the input that you guys are providing this evening.

Speaker 1 40:39

So

Speaker 3 40:43

okay, so now we're going to, we're going to hear a comment from somebody online, and then, and then we'll take somebody in the room next,

Alan Belniak 40:50

perfect, yeah, we'll go back and forth. I'll let you guys know at all times how many hands are raised. So just a reminder. JP, bekta, your hand is raised. You are unmuted on my side. What I was suggesting earlier is you might have a hard line mute, like a mute on your computer or something, but if you want to try speaking, we can let you know. I can let you know if we

Speaker 12 41:11

can hear you. Can you hear me now?

Alan Belniak 41:13

Yep, awesome. Great. The floor

Speaker 12 41:16

is yours. Okay? Several times someone said this was a world class stadium. There is FIFA 2026 which is being held at Gillette. And there was a pregame game. It was France, and I think Brazil, I'm not sure that attracted 68,000 fans. The revs as it stands now, could not host a World Cup at their home stadium because it's only 25,000 seats, and I'm sure as June rolls around, we're going to see much bigger crowds than that at the World Cup. So I'm puzzled as to why they're calling it a world class stadium.

Alan Belniak 42:09

Okay, thank you. JP, appreciate your comments online. There is no one else. Go ahead.

Speaker 6 42:21

Yeah, just I think what we're saying is the quality of the construction, the quality of the building, what we're going to do with the site, the park, everything, when we say world class, so we're not, certainly not speaking to the scale and size of the building. We're not building a massive building like Gillette is, but we're talking about as a modern building to make it really accessible, to make the park really a great place to be. So when we say world class, we're really speaking to the quality of the project and whole that we're trying to build, not necessarily this the size of the book.

Speaker 3 42:52

Thank you. Alan, next we'll take a question in person.

Speaker 1 42:56

Yep.

Speaker 13 42:59

Good evening. My name is David Ford, and I'm president of Everett Uriel, mentioned that you're going to produce provide us with documents. I mean, I'm getting you so I may not be able to get these documents unless they're mailed. Will they be mailed to us? Will we have to pick them up, or will there be future meetings where our questions will be answered? Thank you.

Speaker 3 43:27

If you submit a so the for those online, the question is, if people would like the documents, how can they receive them? If you reach out to either the NEPA analyst or the project representative, which is both of their contact info has been provided in the filing as well as in all of the publications. We will happily print and mail or hand deliver or email a copy of the documents to you in whichever your preferred manner is.

Alan Belniak 44:00

Just confirming with the folks in the room

Speaker 3 44:03

we have one Second

Speaker 13 44:09

Alan. Alan, one second all will be packed in. Have, the information you've given us. I can't, I can't read it smaller,

Speaker 14 44:41

okay, so

Speaker 13 44:42

I'll wait. Okay, we told ahead of time that where we get purchased these documents, will there be a fee for the documents, Alan,

Speaker 1 44:58

where you. Even policy work.

Speaker 5 45:04

Yeah, absolutely. So sorry. Just additional questions in the room about how to stay informed on this project. The I will say at least the slides here tonight are available on the project website. I do hear concerns about this not being published in the paper. Ultimately, we don't have a requirement to do that through MEPA. Outreach is really dependent on the proponent. The meeting was posted on the city's website, but I do appreciate your concern there. If you submit written comments to me on this project, which that could be a single email that says I would like to stay informed on this project, the proponent will be required to email you the next filing whenever it is submitted, so you don't have to keep checking the website or anything like that. It'll be emailed to you as soon as it is filed with NEPA. So that's why I just keep reiterating that point, is that I think written comments really are the best way to stay updated on that project. And I also want to reiterate my point from before in some of these questions about really technical impacts and analyzes, which are certainly valid concerns that that information is anticipated to be provided in the next filing, and if you submit written comments with those questions, to the extent they're in within jurisdiction, and for this project, we do have jurisdiction over traffic impacts, the proponent will be required to respond to those questions directly. It's called a response to comments, and we require it in these in these EIR so I do have a just print out very large text with my contact info if you want to take a picture, or I can write it down for you either way, but, but yes, if you email me the single line, I'll can record it as a public comment, and you will get the next filing emailed directly to you. We're going

Speaker 3 46:59

to take the next question online. We're just going to alternate back and forth. Alternate back

Alan Belniak 47:04

and forth, sure, just to remind folks in the room, we have about 14 people online now. There are currently no raised hands, just a reminder, if you'd like to speak or raise your hand, look for the React button down below and click raise hand back to

Speaker 3 47:17

question in the room,

Speaker 15 47:20

I visit Adam Gerald resident here in Everett. Just want to start off by thanking the revolution. We've engaged with them, and they've been helping out in some schools around here in Everett, attending a lot of events and just really helping other students. So you know, even even before this starts, I feel like they're putting their money where their mouth is and helping the community of Everett. A couple of specific comments on this project, the mitigation. Just have a question about how the dust and everything will be handled. I know we have a lot of construction down there. I'm down there all the time. I don't know enough about that to understand how you prevent stuff from getting in the air. That's just one question there the parks, I like what you guys are showing, but all of the other parks around there are also being redesigned. There's one in Charlestown and one in Somerville as well. It'd be nice to have some sort of coordination to understand what are going in those other parks, so that way you don't duplicate it. And then something for the kids would be great there. I didn't really see much there. That was, you know, child oriented people that are going to be there before the game, people that are going into Boston are coming back that would really be nice, like infrastructure. I don't know if you guys have been down there. It's pretty bad right now. All the bollards are knocked down. We go into Boston quite a bit with the kids, and they have to ride up on the on the sidewalk all the time. That definitely would need to be improved, especially if you're not going to have parking there, the roads down there. Also, if you ever seen during a rainstorm, water pools up there, I'm assuming that's going to get taken care of. But if you're going to have 25,000 people walking down there. People are going to get absolutely soaked during any sort of, you know, when there's water on the on the road there, especially Snow, Snow cleaning down there. It's between Boston ever it just never gets taken care of. And then just transportation. I echo, you know, I'm a big advocate for transportation. I love what the what the stadium is doing to bring that, I think that area is completely neglected, and having the stadium here will bring in, like you said, ferries, the Silver Line Extension I advocated for, you know, getting the new pump house. I just would like to make sure that maybe some of those benefits are brought out to the people of Everett, a little bit more so they see all together that the stadium will be

Speaker 6 49:44

bringing Thank you. Just thank you for your comments on the park. Just be clear, that's not a design that's just showing how much space there will be for the park. I think. Can I mention his comments? The city is forming an independent advisory. Group run by the city that's going to, you know, get a lot of that comment in. So don't think anyone's good tonight. We'll make sure. We'll pass on to the city just again the continued interest to give input on what should be in the park, but it isn't going to be just for the stadium. So it's meant to be a park that's used every day. So we do want very specific comments on that, even though it's not necessarily connected to NEPA, and then we get all the comments. So even if you want to send it in through this process, we'll see those, and we'll make sure we have that. So any thoughts we might have on very specific previous meetings, we'll fishing gears and things like that. We're already thinking about that. So if you have specific stuff, even though it's not a NEPA thing, per se on the park. We'll see that, and we'll make sure we add that to the same question.

Speaker 3 50:47

And I can also speak to some of the technical questions that you had. For example, you asked about dust. All of the construction for the project will be under regulated under the EPA construction general permit as well as a dewatering and remediation permit. So there will be stormwater pollution prevention plans put in, put in

place, which also monitor dust control and temporary, sudden make sure that we don't have erosion and sedimentation off of the site. And then he addressed your comments about, oh, and you mentioned parks nearby. So we are working, we are trying to design within the abutting study. So, for example, the Harbor Walk plan, we are doing our best to tie into that so that this park will be as cohesive with the other parks along the waterfront as possible. Stormwater infrastructure. We will be proposing a brand new stormwater management system proposed as part of the site. The existing stormwater management system is pretty dated, many, many decades old, and so that we will be putting in all a new stormwater management system to improve collection and one other thing. And then I'll let you follow up. It's been mentioned several times resiliency. So right now the site is at one elevation. We will be designing the site to account for future sea level rise and storms associated with that. So we will be taking into account current and future stormwater situations as part of the design. Yep,

Speaker 16 52:18

Paul,

Speaker 15 52:21

lots of outflows, and pretty fast for are you planning to address those? Because I know the W from Ray watershed is trying to fix some of that. Is that what you mean by some of them?

Speaker 3 52:39

So what I mean is the actual stormwater that falls onto the property. We will be collecting that and treating that in a in a manner that is greater than it is collected and treated today. As far as combined sewer and stormwater systems that are owned by the MWRA that is an MWRA system, we do not have any combined systems on our property. You, Alan, do we have any questions online?

Alan Belniak 53:08

Yes, we do. We have Brianne frank with their hand raised, and Brianne, you should now be able to unmute your microphone and share your comments with us. You have roughly two minutes. The floor is

Speaker 17 53:21

yours. Hi, thank you. My name is Brianne Frank. I'm an attorney at conservation law foundation. I want to first thank the proponent for extending the public comment period. I think that's going to have a really meaningful effect on or impact on the ability for folks to engage with this project, which, as you've mentioned, is so critically important, and we will be submitting more substantive comments later. But I do want to ask tonight about your public engagement plan around the waterfront park design. I saw in the filing that you are planning on having a series of public meetings to help design the park according to community needs and preferences, and also to envision future programming for the waterfront park. And the filing mentioned that this would happen during environmental permitting processes. I know meep is not technically a permitting process, but a lot of the design happens in design adjustments happen at this stage. So I was just wondering when that community engagement will begin and what that will look like. Thank you.

Alan Belniak 54:33

Thank you. Brianne, appreciate your comments, and as of now, there are still 14 people online. There are no hands raised at the moment,

Speaker 1 54:42

and

Speaker 3 54:43

we're going to respond to that question here in the room.

Speaker 7 54:46

Great. Thank you for that question. The community engagement process, we're committed to hosting, as you know, many meetings as a required to solicit that community input into the design. So with the city's direction, we've had a. Couple initial, I'll call it more technically focused conversations specific around, you know,

resiliency and things of that nature. And the next step in this, at the city's direction, is to form this independent advisory group, which will, you know, be six or seven different groups, mainly comprised of community members, whether they're Everett or surrounding communities, and different different organizations. And then even beyond that, then we're still committed to have some public input sessions. So if people are coming in, like, the gentleman's comments about the park, you know, if they wanted to have, if they weren't part of one of those, the independent advisory group, then they can certainly still come to one of the public meetings. And then we look to incorporate those incorporate those comments in the dates and the times of those haven't been specifically set yet. The first IAG meeting the city is trying to set for actually next week, to get that going, the exact number of those meetings, we're still working through those details. Again, we're at the very early stages of this, so we're trying to figure this out as we work through the process in terms of who needs to be included and then when. And then, you know, we are like I said, that we are committed to having some public meetings, relative to us, to again, gather as much input as possible.

Speaker 3 56:16

Any more in person, taken in person, well, and then you will do you next. Okay,

Speaker 18 56:27

good evening. Steve Pinto, a progressive, where is all this money coming from? What is his craft paying for any of these studies? Or where is this money coming from? This state is broke. He only has run this state into the ground. The taxpayer is going to pay for all this.

Speaker 1 56:50

I

Speaker 18 56:50

just let them know where this money is coming from and when this craft stop pitching in his end of it.

Speaker 3 56:57

So the question in the room is, who is paying for the project. And this is a privately funded

Speaker 18 57:02

this

Speaker 3 57:03

is a privately funded project by the the craft organization that the studies, all of all of these studies, and in the all of the design and the application and the entire it's all been funded by the New England Revolution and

Speaker 19 57:18

the craft organization. So far,

Speaker 3 57:22

no

Speaker 18 57:22

when, what is, who's paying for the silver line and the transportation and all of that. I assume taxpayers will pay for that.

Speaker 3 57:35

So as part of the as part of the studies that are paired, prepared as part of the project. At the end of the MEPA review process, what will happen is between based on input provided by the state agencies in NEPA, there will be defined mitigation that the proponent must pay for as part of the project, and that will be worked through between the proponent and MEPA and the state agencies. It'll define which off site or on site mitigation needs to be provided by the proponent for the project. So that is, that is the intent of this MEPA review process is to

figure out what needs to be improved and provided as mitigation and what is required by the proponent to provide

Speaker 18 58:18

Okay, so tax paid money is going to be spent on this eventually, we were told that there's no going to be any taxpayer money spent at all, which is why it would not be a valid question.

Speaker 3 58:33

Yeah.

Speaker 6 58:34

Again, there's 100 things I could go on around the site. So the whole point of this process to determine what are the things that we're causing, and those are things we have to admitted. And that's this whole process with the state is to determine that. So it's hard for us to speak at any one single thing when you saw this community impact community. Are things that the city of Boston, City have ever thought these are things that are probably going to have impacts. And we said, yeah, without doing a year you were studying. I think we know that we need to land a sidewalk. I think we know we need to fix this. Fix that when it comes to these other things, those are going to be figured out to be the process if we're causing them, we have

Speaker 18 59:11

a so we actually don't know either.

Speaker 19 59:14

No,

Speaker 3 59:15

that's the point of this process.

Speaker 6 59:17

Next year, plus processes to figure out, what are the what are the actual impacts of the profits that it causes? And that's what the state will figure out with us, and then that's what will happen to the game.

Speaker 18 59:26

I don't think the taxpayers should be responsible for any of this. Thank you.

Speaker 3 59:34

We'll do online, and then we'll take him as the next one. Alan. Are there any more online

Alan Belniak 59:40

to update you all. We have 13 people now remaining online. We have Martin Pillsbury with their hand raised, and Martin, you should now have the ability to unmute your own microphone. There you go. And when you do, you have about two minutes, and the floor is yours.

Speaker 20 59:55

Thank you very much. Martin Pillsbury, I'm at the Metropolitan Area Planning Council. And we do a review of major projects within the whole Greater Boston area, which makes me aware of the fact that right next door to this site is another very large project, the Everett Docklands, which is actually currently, as the MEPA folks in the room know, also going through MEPA review. It's a little further ahead in the process. Now, I believe they're working on Deir but the question I have is, I know the nature of NEPA is project by project. You know, you're just legally, you're looking at each project separately. But when you have such large projects happening right next door and are overlapping but concurrent review, kind of the question, and this might be as much for the MEPA folks in the room as a proponent. You know, is there an opportunity for this project to take into account the sort of cumulative impacts of some of these impacts and and the kind of the interaction between the two projects. And I think there must be a good amount of that going on in the in the transportation, in the

parking maybe the stormwater, climate resilience, all of this sort of things that are a bit of a regional nature, with two big projects happening side by side in the same time. Is there going to be an opportunity for for that kind of a look? And maybe, is it even too late to have the reverse look back in the docklands project, to think about the docklands in in the context of also happening when this one is happening?

Speaker 5 1:01:19

Just a moment, we'll answer that. Hi. Martin Lindsey buff, so I guess just to question is about there's other obviously large projects that are currently being proposed, evaluated in this area, one of them being ever Dobbins, is the name of the project, which will also have, you know, generate notable traffic. And I guess just speak confidently to that Mass DOT scoping letters, which inform the traffic studies that these projects have to complete when they require mass off permitting when you're looking at the future build conditions which reflect traffic Once your project is built, those future conditions also have to reflect one just general background growth, and then on top of that, any known or planned projects in the area generally. That's done, looking at not just projects going through NEPA, but local projects as well, as well that might have large traffic impacts, but don't necessarily require legal review. So I would expect that for this project, at least that AV ever Docklands traffic generation would likely be incorporated into the future Bill conditions in terms of coordination between the proponents. That's something that I think perhaps be a lack of discussion. But certainly I think at least this study would have to account for those traffic impacts. I can't really speak to the study that was completed or is being completed for Everett Alan, simply because I'm not a reviewer without project, but at least for this one, that project would be considered in terms of other impacts. Again, I think that would be sort of have to be a larger conversation, because generally, we do review these props individually.

Speaker 3 1:03:06

Thank you. We'll take the next question in the room.

Alan Belniak 1:03:11

All right. Well, I'm going to thank you, Martin for your comments earlier. Looking at the list, we still have 13 attendees, and there are currently no hands raised,

Speaker 3 1:03:21

yep, just one moment. Okay, yep, we're good. Go

Speaker 21 1:03:23

ahead. Lawrence Alan, resident, this is great. They've been coming down with talking about it, and really a mid favor, basically. But I'm thinking of the transportation again. I'd like to see a simulation film of some type, and some day, going forward, when we meet again, of what it would actually look like. I mean, we're talking words here, you know. But I mean, we're talking about cars coming busses coming from the square area, or people coming from Sullivan square. If a guy is in a wheelchair coming from Sullivan square is going over the Alpha Street Bridge. I want to actually see what that looks like. You know, we're talking the Silver Line. Great. This is going to be beautiful, but I need to see what it looks like. Otherwise, it's just meaningless too. So it also, while I'm here, I still like to see a combination place, station down the line. Thank you.

Speaker 3 1:04:20

So to summarize, for those of you online, the comment was a request for a transportation simulation of an event, and then what was it? A combined fire and police station, those were the comments that we received. Alan, you have somebody online?

Alan Belniak 1:04:40

Nope, still, 13 attendees. Currently, no hands raised. Do we

Speaker 3 1:04:44

have more questions in the room?

Speaker 22 1:04:51

Good evening. I'm currently in Alan city councilor at large. Thank you for having this meeting as I. Walked in, I was looking for papers to look at, because usually at a community meetings, we have printouts for people to reference, so I didn't see that. Hopefully next time, I have a couple questions and some suggestions. How would this project directly benefit the population in Everett? Would the community be able to use the stadium? What kind of jobs will it create in the community? Would this presentation gatherable on paper at the fungus at City Hall for the public to take you also. Are you also meeting with multiple organizations that we currently have in the city? And I overheard earlier that you guys mentioned that you're not required to publish these meetings, since you are coming into our city and you want to do something here, if you'd like more people to be involved, I think it makes sense to advertise them In the newspaper, because not all constituents are on social media. Thank

Speaker 23 1:06:28
you.

Speaker 6 1:06:30

Sorry, counselors. I just want to there are a few questions I want to turn benefits for the population? Whatever? There are a few. And I answer some of your other ones in here too. I mean, obviously getting rid of the power plant and putting getting public access to the waterfront for the first time in like 100 years, we think that's a huge benefit to the stadium. We went through the benefits slide that talked about some of the other things that we're going to do, not just improvements for the site itself, but the surrounding areas, of sidewalks, some of those things in part of the community impact agreement, we do talk about having access to the stadium itself. So we are going to have not only events in the park, but allow for events to take place in the stadium, things like high school commencement has been mentioned as one of those things. We'd love to have some sports take place in the stadium as well for the for the community, but we also think the park and the stadium together could live for other things. So thinking about things like farmers markets, artists fairs, things like that. So, you know, when you look at our events, there's there's, you know, sort of one a week, and obviously we're not doing events in winter, so there's lots of downtime that the building's not being used. I think that's what makes the building really special, is that we want people to come to the site. We want people to the site. We want people to enjoy it, and we certainly want the community enjoy it. So any ideas for things that we can do inside the stadium or inside the park, we're open to that from a programmatic standpoint, but we've already made some of those really direct commitments that we want to do those things. So I hope to have my recommendation at the stadium. I think that'd be a really great event, and obviously for the boys and girls soccer teams. You know, from our perspective, that's our love, our passion. So if we can figure out ways of doing that, and I think someone mentioned, we're already trying to engage in the community and do clinics, things like that. So we really want to make soccer and eSports popular, and Everett and anything we can do to do that. And I think the nice thing about us, versus maybe a traditional developer is that we have a Community Impact call. Who runs our community service groups. We're doing that every day now. So we're not a developer looking to do community impact. We're someone who does community impact looking to develop. So I think you're getting a new neighbor and a member of the community that being a good community member is something that we're really proud of. I have business before. A couple years ago, we were named MLS Community Impact Team of the Year. So out of 30 MLS teams, we were judged by the League to do the most community impact of any MLS team. So, you know, we take that work really seriously. And obviously, being a neighbor here, we would focus a lot of that effort in and around effort in terms of the jobs program, I think we look at jobs two different ways, the first phase of jobs, and again, there's something in the agreement that talks about giving preference to every residents. Will be the construction, right? So building the stadium, there'll be a whole host of jobs designed to that. Those will be union jobs. We have PLAs in place already on that, but there are preference for every residents on those. And then the second phase of jobs is obviously the permanent the permanent jobs of operating the stadium. The same thing, there'll be preference for Everett residents on those jobs too. So there will be job programs in place. Good thing on the newspaper. Again, I'm not sure exactly where we didn't, didn't publish it, but we'll make sure the Everett independent the other ones for every future meeting, we'll make sure we'll have notices in those as well. Yeah, I think on both we will get preference ever residents on both sides and on the both the constraints. Construction side and on the operation side. So both would have preference. I just mentioned the unions because they are, they are union jobs. So it would not be the people who who get into the union or already in the union. So that is something that we have to have right as part of, as part of the construction, as part of some of the operations. So that's

why I just bring that up. But the preference would be given to every residents on both the construction side as well as the apartment jump side. So I think, did I miss anything else, or

Speaker 4 1:10:30
organizations? Are you meeting

Speaker 6 1:10:33
the city when you say organizations? Do you mean like third party, community

Speaker 1 1:10:37
groups?

Speaker 6 1:10:40
Yeah, I know you've done more of those than I have to take up

Speaker 3 1:10:45
the slide. Yeah,

Speaker 6 1:10:46
we have some

Speaker 14 1:10:51
requested.

Speaker 3 1:10:58
So I think she might have come in late, but we did. Yeah,

Speaker 6 1:11:01
we talked about the some of the organizations we've already been meeting with in the in the area, in the region, black community, God, ever community grows or two that we've done here. I know those are Everett specific green roots. We working with them. I know there's their regional organization, and then we work with few other groups. So again, we're happy to meet with anyone who wants to be with us from the community side, again, both in terms of the stadium and the impact, but the part of the programming. And then again, just community program, things that we're just doing in the community. You know, we have one of these job programs out from ever from the city. They came to the Training Center. I remember meeting a lot of them. We hosted them to show them, sort of what we do. So again, that's an ongoing process, and so if you have any ideas, I actually recommend grabbing call to for a few minutes, because he oversees all that programming for us. So if you have anyone specifically, we plan to hear about that and get a meeting on the looks.

Speaker 10 1:11:53
Thank you. Just, I'm just going to check if there's anyone online. There's no There's no one online. All right. My name is Peggy Serena. I'm a network resident. You use the word mitigation. Mitigation needs to be, you know, you do this, and I'll do that. And what you're telling me is that the network residents are going to end up paying for this in some way. And we've just had part the finances as a serious consideration. And as far as traffic is concerned, we've been promised two new to real stations. We've been promised the MBTA is going to do this, that and the other thing, the state doesn't have the money. There's no money here. The people don't have the money. Where is the money coming from? And why should Alan residents pay for Mr. Kraft stadium when he is worth \$11 billion he worked for a little bit. I don't think this is right, and I don't think it's fair, and the traffic. You're kidding yourself if you don't think it's going to be enough, because it is. Thank

Speaker 1 1:13:07
you.

Speaker 3 1:13:11

Thank you for your comments.

Speaker 24 1:13:15

Mary Ford,

Speaker 10 1:13:20

the prairies administration, denied the citizens of ever to vote on state they did not have a choice. I don't call that nice, and to find out that we are going to have to pay for this good percentage is not good. I heard rumor that Mr. Kraft would like to move it all up to New Hampshire, and I'm within

Speaker 3 1:13:53

I would just like to respond to last two comments and just clarify that the entirety of the project, as well as any mitigation that is required, as determined by the state and the local communities to offset impacts by the project will be paid for by privately, by the craft organization and the New England Revolution. We're not proposing a publicly funded project. Go ahead. Do

Speaker 25 1:14:24

Good evening. My name is Antonio Maya, and I am the director of black community Inc, a community based organization here for 27 years. I just have a few comments specifically Well, the public and computer based organization outreach, basically, Alan was contacted like two years ago about this product just once. Since then, we. Didn't have any notification that you were this project was going to be going on. Because one of the things that happened is that we, I represent my community, Latino community, which is been here for many years too. And I don't see Latinos anymore. I don't see no no one who definitely can say yes, I want to be part of the building to study. I don't see too much architect on that chat, because what happened before it was cooked behind us. It was just concluded our organizations and said, Yes, we agree. One of the things that happened over here it is that, if you see the time when they when you started to reach out the community based organization, it is April 17, 2026 you know. And this has been long time, like four years ago, more maybe. And I would like to know how you are planning to contact all this organization in be part of the process. And I just want to make another comment that came from the city council at large, really about the jobs. I think every residents needs jobs, but not just jobs. Needs good jobs with a really good package of benefit. It is not just jobs, it is something that can benefit ever address it the immigrant community that are able to work and at the setting really close to their house to spend more time with the kids, with the family and things I would like to know how you are committed to give that benefit to the every community residents. Thank you.

Speaker 3 1:17:16

So let's do the first part, and I'll defer you on jobs as far as outreach this, this is our intent, and we just kicked off this process at the beginning of the year to reach out to all of the organizations we're aware of. Again, please talk to Kahal, who can raise his hand over there. We would love to organize and meet with you guys as frequently as you would like.

Speaker 6 1:17:39

Yeah, job program. Again, we're probably looking at TED and Matt here, you know, a few years away from construction. So in terms of, like, what is going to be the exact process for posting jobs, saying we're going to have, obviously, to be the construction jobs first of the permanent job. So I can't tell you exactly how we're going to do that, but I can, I can assure you, like, we're committed to to making that, to making that a priority for us to get as many other residents as possible, both on the construction side and the permanent side. And, you know, I know we met with you guys once, as long as when we're meeting with you and other community organizations, they let us know they want to be part of that process of the job front, we're happy to include you on all the all the work that we're doing in terms of hiring residents and and the job postings, all those things, so we can do it through your very public ways. But again, if your group has a way of reaching out to your community, and you're willing to post those for us, we're happy to share those as they come up, so that you can help us recruit and help let people know. And if it's a job fair or something we want to do in conjunction with you, we're happy to do that

Speaker 8 1:18:37

as well. You listen before on the jobs.

Speaker 16 1:19:01

I even,

Speaker 6 1:19:17

yeah, so again, I'd say it's both on where you mentioned the constructions come and go. And that's true. We will have permanent jobs as well as part as part of the stadium, and it is a mix of jobs. There's some full time jobs and there's some part time jobs, and so we'll be recruiting for both. But again, I don't, I don't have that mix today, like we're many years off from opening the stadium operating it, but we're happy to keep people informed as those come up and what those are.

Speaker 26 1:19:44

I don't.

Speaker 6 1:19:50

I don't know the answer that question. They run the revolution. I can tell you what my jobs are and what we do, but I don't. It's a mix again. Of their supervisors. There's permanent people that run run those programs, and there's people that work the events in the days and those too. So I think the one good thing is, yes, there are permanent jobs too, but for people who have full time jobs and have day jobs and are looking at earning extra income, there are those jobs that can complement that as well. So I hear you, and there will be full time permanent jobs, but I think the fact that we're bringing part time, temporary jobs that are off hours does help people complement their income that do have full time jobs that want to earn more money. So yes, there's full time jobs, but there's also other jobs as well, and I think that's a nice thing that we can do.

Speaker 3 1:20:36

Still going online,

Speaker 1 1:20:38

yeah,

Speaker 3 1:20:39

okay, anybody else in the room, just a quick time check it is 740 so we do have a bit more time in here, if anyone else has anything otherwise, sure, So I'm going to share the next steps.

Speaker 1 1:21:00

Yeah. Yeah.

Speaker 23 1:21:06

This one the one before us, yeah.

Speaker 3 1:21:10

So again, as we have mentioned multiple times, we strongly encourage you to submit your comments in writing. Once you have commented on the project, you will be notified as the project continues through the NEPA review process. You can reach out and request copies of the documents, either by email or printed. Those will be provided to you at no cost, as long as you're only requesting a reasonable number of copies, as was mentioned previously, the comments are due by June 11, at which point then Anita will take all of the comments and issue a certificate on the project on June 22 and then the next step in the process is called a draft environmental impact report, and the proponent will submit that de ir, including all of the technical studies that have been requested by the state, as well as response to all the comments that have been received on the project. Yes, ma'am,

Speaker 1 1:22:14

just

Speaker 3 1:22:20

one moment.

Speaker 5 1:22:21

No. I mean, I will note them, but to be most effective and to, I think, get the best response, please, please, do submit them in writing. And I just Well, I have everybody in the room. I want to note that the written comments that are submitted on the project are considered public documents. They're submitted to the project as part of the public record, and they will be appended to the certificate that is posted publicly. So if you are you are more than welcome to just send me an email, and I can save that as an attachment, as a comment. If you don't want any personal identifying information, you don't want your email to be posted publicly, you can just send me a word document or a PDF or anything like that. And I'll send I'll save it separately, because I know there are

Speaker 3 1:23:09

I would also to answer your question. This meeting is being recorded, and it will be provide posted on our website. Following this meeting, we are trying to post all of the documents and all of the notifications about this project on our project website, the meeting is also being translated in three languages. We can provide those, those translations as well.

Speaker 23 1:23:30

Those will be posted. Those

Speaker 3 1:23:31

will be posted. The translated versions of the meeting will also be posted. At this point, I think we will conclude the meeting. We greatly appreciate everyone coming out. We appreciate hearing your interest in the project. We appreciate your patience at the beginning of the meeting, and we look forward to continuing the conversation with you.

Alan Belniak 1:23:55

All right. Everyone online, thanks for joining. Have a great rest of the evening.